United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,120	07/18/2003		Luke K. Liang	Vision 105P	4462	
Thomas A. O'	7590 Rourke	12/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Bodner & O'Rourke, LLP 425 Broadhollow Road Melville, NY 11747				STRIMBU, GREGORY J		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3634		
,				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/623,120	LIANG ET AL.	LIANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Gregory J. Strimbu	.3634			

	Gregory 5. Sumbu	.3034	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	The same points of the same in	o. o	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see No	OTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			•
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	vicated alaims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		geoled Claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	` <i>''</i>	ompliant Amondment	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amondme	ent concoling the
non-allowable claim(s).	lowable ii submilited in a separate	, umely filed amendine	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	\boxtimes will not be entered, or b) \square wided below or appended.	rill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 9, 14-31</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	the form of the figure of	1 4	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d before or on the date of filing a r	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o	vercome all rejections under appo	eal and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	or the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacr	iea.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	Λ	GREGORY J STRIMBLE PRIMARY EXAMINER	
	Yn all	711/ F	ALAO

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20061213

Continuation of 3. NOTE: At least the proposed recitation "the front face of said tumbler" on line 24 of claim 15 presents new issues requiring further consideration. Additionally, the applicant has failed to correct all of the 35 USC 112 informalities listed in the previous office action and has failed to correct all of the drawing and specification inconsistencies presented in the previous office action.